Atty Docket No.: HYZ-040CIP Reply to Office Action dated October 29, 2004

The Examiner required that Applicants elect a single species from the SEQ ID NOs disclosed in (a), and a single species from the SEQ ID NOs encompassed by (b) (*see*, Office Action of July 1, 2004)

As set forth in the response of August 25, 2004, Applicants again provisionally elect, with traverse, the species of <u>SEQ ID NO: 28</u> for (a), and the species of <u>SEQ ID NO: 38</u> from the sequences encompassed by (b).

Election of SEQ ID NO:28 reads on claims 1, 8-20, 25, 27-28, 30, 42, and 44. Election of SEQ ID NO: 38 reads on claims 2, 4, 6-20, 22, 25, 27, 28, 30, 43 and 45. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the election or the claims that read on the elected species, she is invited to call the Attorney of record.

Applicants' substantive arguments in support of their traversal of the species election requirement are the same as those presented in the Response of August 25, 2004, and are set forth below as a courtesy to the Examiner.

At the outset, it is noted that when the instant application was originally filed, the Examiner who first examined this case (Examiner Joyce Tung) restricted the claims into three groups (*see*, Appendix A). Group I consisted of claims drawn to a synthetic oligonulceotide complementary to a portion of the 5′ untranslated region of the hepatitis C virus, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1 or 24.3. Group I claims consisted of essentially the same claims as those that are currently pending in the instant application. The previous Examiner did not require a species election, either in making the Restriction Requirement, or throughout the prosecution of the application. Thus, Applicants respectfully contend the United States Patent and Trademark Office has previously determined (implicitly) that it would not pose an undue burden to examine the currently pending claims without a species election. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully assert that it would also not be unduly burdensome for the Examiner to search all the claimed species of synthetic oligonucleotides in the instant application as they all relate to the hepatitis C virus.

However, even if the Examiner were to consider the examination of the present claims to be unduly burdensome, Applicants note that MPEP § 803.04 states that "the Commissioner has

decided *sua sponte* to...permit a *reasonable number* of nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application...(and that)....it has been determined that normally *ten* sequences constitute a reasonable number for examination purposes" (emphases added). This section of the MPEP goes on to state that "in some *exceptional* cases, the complex nature of the claimed material, for example a protein amino acid sequence reciting three dimensional folds, may necessitate that

The instant Restriction Requirement states only that "the large number of individual sequences encompassed by the claims necessitates this requirement, as the claims as now written cannot be searched and examined without posing a serious burden."

the reasonable number of sequences to be selected be less than ten" (emphasis added).

Applicants respectfully note that, first, the synthetic oligonulceotide sequences in question are only about 12-32 nucleotides in length and are readily searchable using the computer algorithms and sequence databases available to the P.T.O., so that it is unclear upon what basis the assertion that the search poses a serious burden rests. Applicants further note that, even if the search were "complex" in nature, MPEP § 803.04 does not state that this is an issue in examining multiple sequences, rather it states that the complexity of the claimed material may be an issue in some exceptional cases, however the sequences claimed are not complex and a justification for their exceptional treatment has not been presented. Furthermore, Applicants note that the mere fact that the sequences "each have a unique nucleotide sequence" is not dispositive to whether it would be an undue burden to examine them together. This is particularly true in the instant case where a simple search for <u>all</u> possible hepatitis C virus antisense sequences could be conducted simply and efficiently by using straightforward search strings using the known hepatitis C nucleotide sequence. In view of these points, Applicants respectfully urge reconsideration of the species election requirement and withdrawal of the requirement to elect a single synthetic oligonucleotide sequence from each of (a) and (b) described above.

Appl. No. 08/887,505

Atty Docket No.: HYZ-040CIP

Reply to Office Action dated October 29, 2004

CONCLUSION

In summary, Applicants have provisionally elected, with traverse, the species of **SEQ ID NO**: 28 for (a) and the species of **SEQ ID NO**: 38 for (b).

Applicants respectfully urge reconsideration and withdrawal of the species election requirement. Further and favorable consideration on the merits of the claims of record is also respectfully requested at this time.

No additional fees are believed to be due in connection with this correspondence; however, if any fees are due, please charge the payments due to our Deposit Account No. 08-0219.

If a telephone interview would advance prosecution of the application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 22, 2004

Ann-Louise Kerner, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 33,523

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP

60 State Street

Boston, MA 02109

Tel.:

(617) 526-6192

Fax:

(617) 526-5000

Appl. No. 08/887,505

Atty Docket No.: HYZ-040CIP

Reply to Office Action dated October 29, 2004

APPENDIX A

A copy of the Restriction Requirement made in this case when it was originally filed.

EXPRESS MAIL	LABEL NO.	EV22519	9282US					
OPATE OF D	EPOSIT	11/22/2	2004	•	Announce	d for use through 07/31/2	PTO/SB/21 (09-04)	
2004 Somer the Paperwo	rk Reduction Act of 199	95. no person	s are required to respond to a c	. Patent and T	rademark	Office: U.S. DEPARTM	ENT OF COMMERCE	
THE STATE OF THE S	, m	*	Application Number	ri -		08/887,505		
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	07/02/1997		
	ORM		First Named Inventor			Kilkulskie		
			Art Unit		3 2 2 3	1634		
(to be used for all cor	respondence after initi	al filing)	Examiner Name			Diana B. Johan	nsen	
Total Number of Page	s in This Submission	11	Attorney Docket Number			HYZ-040CI	P	
		ENCI	LOSURES (Check a	II that apply	<i>(</i>)			
Fee Transmitta	al Form		Orawing(s)	.=.		After Allowance Co	mmunication to TC	
Fee Attached			Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communica of Appeals and Inte		
Fee Attached			F		-3	Appeal Communica	ition to TC	
Amendment/Reply			Petition Petition to Convert to a			(Appeal Notice, Brief	, Reply Brief)	
After Final		ا لنا ا	Provisional Application			Proprietary Informa	tion	
Affidavits/declaration(s)			Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter			/.t		
Extension of Time Request						Other Enclosure(s) below):	(please identify	
Express Abandonment Request		1.57 B	Request for Refund		- P	ostcard		
	-		CD. Number of CD(s) -Response 1			esponse to No		
Information Disclosure Statement			Non-Responsive Amen			Amendment		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remar			<u> </u>			
Reply to Missir	ng Parts/							
Incomplete App								
	37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
F: N	SIGN	ATURE C	F APPLICANT, ATT	ORNEY, C	OR AG	ENT		
Firm Name · \	Vilmer Cutler Pick	ering Hale	and Dorr LLP					
Signature	Ohr-housekerr							
Printed name			e Kerner, PhD.	* ****				
Date	•	1/22/200	4	Reg. No.		33,523		
sufficient postage as the date shown below:	s correspondence is	being facsi	CATE OF TRANSMISS mile transmitted to the USP dressed to: Commissioner t	TO or depos	sited with	n the United States Po 1450, Alexandria, V	ostal Service with A 22313-1450 on	
Signature	Juson	Hrew	W					
Typed or printed name		. //	Jason Gregoire	."		Date 11/	22/2004	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Typed or printed name





Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington. DC 20231

PLICATION	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
07087,505	0770279	KILKUSKIE

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

HALE AND DORR 60 STATE STREET BOSTON MA 02109 HM31/0910 **EXAMINER**

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/10/98

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIU DORA LLA

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Nesp. to Nesto. Ky, due 10/10/98 Ban 3/10/95

Pocheted 73 48



Application No. 08/887,505

Applicant(s)

Kilkuskie et al.

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Group Art Unit 1634

	I SA DA HOSO O DEL COLO
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed c.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exist longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/ere pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the company of the CERTIFIED copies of the company of	to by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er) .
received in this national stage application from the Interest Certified copies not received:	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	ningi 39 0.3.6. 3 119/g).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Nots Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	s)
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES



Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-31, drawn to an synthetic oligonucleotide complementary to a portion of the 5' untranslated region of hepatitis C virus, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1 or 24.3.
 - II. Claims 32-37, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, method of inhibiting and treating hepatitis C virus, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
 - III. Claims 38-41, drawn to a method of detecting hepatitis C virus and kit including all reagents, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Group II is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, method of inhibiting and treating which can be done with an antibody, while Group I can be used in nucleic acid mapping.

Serial Number: 08/887,505

Art Unit: 1634

- 4. Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case Group III can be practiced with a different oligonucleotides, while Group I can be used in nucleic acid mapping.
- 5. Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different invention, Group II is drawn to a method of treating hepatitis C virus, while Group III is drawn to a method of detecting hepatitis C virus.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Serial Number: 08/887,505

Art Unit: 1634

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group1634 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 305-4227. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

Septemoer 4, 1998

W. Gary Jones
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600